

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/811,342 | 03/16/2001 . | Robert H. Wills | 10964-037002 / Case 664 | 7728 |
| 26161 7 | 590 08/20/2003 | | | |
| /FISH & RICHARDSON PC / 225 FRANKLIN ST | EXAMINER | | | |
| , | BOSTON, MA 02110 KIM, PAU | | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | • | | 2857 | |
| | | DATE MAILED: 08/20/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ٠, | , | Application | n No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | 09/811,34 | 2 | WILLS, ROBERT H. | | |
| Office Action Summary | | Examiner | · | Art Unit | | |
| | | Paul L Kim | | 2857 | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply | nication appears on the | cover si | eet with the correspondenc address | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN maions of time may be available under the provision: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comi period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum s re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve munication. 30) days, a reply within the statu tatutory period will apply and wil y will. by statute. cause the apply | nt, however tory minimu l expire SIX cation to be | may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) fi | iled on <u>30 June 2003</u> . | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> | This action is FINAL. | 2b)⊠ This action is | non-final | | | |
| 3)□ Dispositi | Since this application is in conditio closed in accordance with the pracon of Claims | n for allowance except tice under <i>Ex parte Qu</i> | for form layle, 19 | al matters, prosecution as to the merits is 35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | |
| 4)🖂 | Claim(s) 21-45 is/are pending in the | e application. | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a | re withdrawn from con | sideratio | n. | | |
| 5)🖂 | Claim(s) 42-45 is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>21,22,24-26,29-33,35-39 and 41</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) 23,27,28,34 and 40 is/are | _ | | | | |
| 8)[| Claim(s) are subject to restrict | ction and/or election re | quireme | nt. | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | |
| 9) 🗌 🗆 | The specification is objected to by the | e Examiner. | | | | |
| 10) 🔲 🗆 | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: | a) accepted or b) | bjected t | by the Examiner. | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any obj | jection to the drawing(s) | e held in | abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | |
| 11) 🔲 7 | The proposed drawing correction file | d on is: a)∏ ap | proved b |) disapproved by the Examiner. | | |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are re- | · • | ce action. | | | |
| 12) 🗌 🏻 | he oath or declaration is objected to | by the Examiner. | | | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim | for foreign priority und | er 35 U. | S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | |
| a)[| ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority | documents have been | receive | l. | | |
| , | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internee the attached detailed Office action | ational Bureau (PCT F | lule 17.2 | been received in this National Stage (a)). s not received. | | |
| 14) 🗌 A | cknowledgment is made of a claim fo | or domestic priority und | ler 35 U | S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | |
| a) | ☐ The translation of the foreign lan | guage provisional app | lication h | as been received. | | |
| Attachment(| | - | | | | |
| 2) Notice 3) Inform | of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P' ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa | TO-948) 5 | | rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er: | | |
| .S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev | | Office Action Summary | | Part of Paper No. 17 | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 21, 22, 24-26, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hedman et al.

With regard to claim 21, Hedman et al teaches a system for controlling power comprising: a detector to detect a characteristic of power on a network (col. 2, lines 29-31), an accelerator to provide an accelerating response function (col. 10, lines 60-65), and a circuitry to control the power supplied to the network based on the accelerating response function, the circuitry comprising a power converter (col. 2, lines 31-35).

With regard to claims 22, 24 and 26, Hedman et al teaches the detector comprising a voltage detector (col. 6, lines 9-15) and a frequency detector (col. 5, lines 50-54), the circuitry controlling the power supplied to the utility network based on outputs on both the frequency and voltage (col. 6, lines 9+).

With regard to claims 25, Hedman et al teaches the circuitry controlling the power by changing a voltage on the grid line in a same direction as the change (col. 6, lines 9-15).

With regard to claim 29, Hedman et al teaches a power source supplying power to the network (fig. 1, part 11).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 30-33, 35-39, and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peckinpaugh in view of Hedman et al.

With regard to claims 30, 35, 36, and 41, Peckinpaugh teaches a method and apparatus of controlling power supplied to a utility network comprising: detecting a trend in voltage on the utility network (col. 2, lines 23-25) and controlling a power converter which supplies to the utility network in accordance with the trend (col. 2, lines 25-27 and col. 3, lines 60-64).

Peckinpaugh, however, does not specify providing an accelerating response function to the trend. Hedman et al teaches providing an accelerating response function in response to a change in characteristic of power on a grid (col. 10, lines 60-65). Since Peckinpaugh and Hedman et al are both within the art of controlling a utility network in response to power characteristic changes, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, to modify

Peckinpaugh, so that an accelerating response is provided, as taught by Hedman, so as to provide a faster response for increased energy needs.

With regard to claims 31 and 37, Peckinpaugh teaches the trend comprising a change in voltage on the utility network (col. 2, lines 43-46).

With regard to claims 32 and 38, Peckinpaugh teaches controlling comprising changing a supply of voltage to the utility network in a same direction as the change in voltage on the utility network (col. 2, lines 36-38).

With regard to claims 33 and 39, Peckinpaugh teaches the change in voltage comprising at least a change in voltage level and a change in voltage frequency (fig. 5).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 42-45 are allowed.

Claims 23, 27, 28, 34, and 40 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 21-41 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Jindrick et al teaches a method of voltage and current regulating based on microprocessor control.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Kim whose telephone number is 703-305-7468. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursdays 10:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc Hoff can be reached on 703-308-1677. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-4440 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

PK August 10, 2003

MARC S. HUFF
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800